

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

D. JAMES HADEL, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

WILLIS ROOF CONSULTING, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:06-cv-01032-RLH-RJJ

SUPPLEMENTAL O R D E R

(Motion to Consolidate—#266)

Earlier today, February 7, 2011, the Court inadvertently issued an order (#275) on Defendants' **Motion to Consolidate** (#266) before Defendants had the opportunity to file a reply. Defendants have now filed a reply (#276). The Court has considered Defendants reply and finds no reason to change its previous order (#275). Moreover, it appears from the reply that one of Defendants' purposes in seeking to consolidate the cases was to avoid Plaintiffs' efforts to remand the Second Lawsuit. A desire to avoid remand is not a proper basis on which to consolidate.

Accordingly, and for good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Court's Order (#275), entered on February 7, 2011, is the correct and final order for Defendants' Motion to Consolidate (#266).

Dated: February 7, 2011



ROGER L. HUNT
Chief United States District Judge